# THE INFLUENCE OF THE CONSTRUCTIVE SHAPES OF ALUMINUM PROFILES ON IMPACT PHENOMENA

# **Gabriel POPESCU**

"Dunarea de Jos" University of Galati, Faculty of Naval Architecture, Galati, Domneasca Street, No. 47, 800008, Romania, E-mail: gabriel.popescu@ugal.ro

# ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to analyze the results obtained from the impact for aluminum profiles in four constructive variants, two with connections and two with bevels. The forms significantly influence the results from a quantitative and qualitative point of view, making it possible to recommend the choice of the type of profile.

Keywords: shapes, impact, deformations, tensions

# 1. Introduction

In the shipbuilding, automobile, and aeronautical industries, aluminum profiles are frequently used, manufactured profiles, not laminated ones. This study proposes to analyse the influence of the shapes of four types of profiles in the case of their response to impact.

The proposed profiles can be used as external protection bars in the abovementioned industries.

Table 1 shows the shape characteristics of the four profiles.

Shape	The geometric variant of the		
number	shape		
Shape 1	Connection with a radius of 15mm		
Shape 2	Connection with a radius of 20mm		
Shape 3	Bevel 15 mm		
Shape 4	Bevel 20 mm		

# Table 1 Main characteristics of the shapes Shape The geometric variant of the

© Galati University Press, 2024

#### 2. 3D models

The study models were created in SolidWorks using the specific commands for generating the sketches of the four variants and then extruding them to obtain the three-dimensional models. It should be noted that all four versions had as their initial 2D shape the same rectangle, which in the first two versions was processed with connections of 15 and 20 millimeters, respectively, and the last two were chamfered with the same values, 15 and 20 millimeters.



Fig. 1 Model 1 Radius 15mm



Fig. 2 Model 2 Radius 20mm



Fig. 3 Model 3 Chamfer 15mm



Model 4 Chamfer 15mm Fig. 4

#### 3. Defining the analysis conditions

The Solidworks calculation system has the possibility of analysis through simulation and impact. One of the options is the drop test.

As this type of simulation is a dynamic one, that is a development over time of the analysis, the equation of the general movement is expressed by the sum of the inertial forces Fin(t), the elastic forces Fel(t), and the damping forces Fam(t). The resultant expresses the impact force.

The solution of such an equation can be done in two methods of integration as a function of time, implicit as well as explicit methods.

In the case of the explicit method, the stiffness matrix does not have to be decomposed and for this reason, there are economic runs in terms of time that translate into a reduction of the necessary resources.

The time step must be defined so that it has a value lower than the critical one. This condition is imposed because, for a correct result, the solution must be convergent.



For these models, the same 6061 Alloy material with the following characteristics was selected: 6.9e+10 Elastic Modulus N/m^2 0.33 Poisson's Ratio N/A 2.6e+10 Shear Modulus N/m^2 2700 Mass Density kg/m<sup>3</sup> 124084000 Tensile Strength N/m^2 55148500 Yield Strength N/m<sup>2</sup>

#### 4. Results and discussion

The runs of the four models for the same impact speed of 100 m/s (formula 1 cars) are presented in the following figures containing for each case the displacements and stresses on the model, as well as the graphs of the evolution of these values during the analysis time for the same node in the flat area of impact.

© Galati University Press, 2024



Fig. 6 Displacements on 25 step Model 1



Fig.7 Stresses on 25 step Model 1



Fig. 8 Displacement time evolution Model 1 (node 2268)



Fig. 9\_Stresses time evolution Model 1 (node 2268)

© Galati University Press, 2024





Fig.10\_Displacements on 25 step Model 2



Fig.11\_Stresses on 25 step Model 2



Fig. 12\_Displacement time evolution Model 2 (node 2176)



Fig. 13\_Stresses time evolution Model 2 (node 2176)

# Fascicle XI

The Annals of "Dunarea de Jos" University of Galati

### Results for model 3



Fig. 14\_Displacements on 25 step Model 3



Fig. 15 Stresses on 25 step Model 3



Fig. 16\_Displacement time evolution Model 3 (node 2723)



Fig. 17\_Stresses time evolution Model 1 (node 2723)

#### Results for model 4



Fig. 18 Displacements on 25 step Model 4



Fig. 19 Stresses on 25 step Model 4



Fig. 20\_Displacement time evolution Model 4 (node 15455)



Fig. 21\_Stresses time evolution Model 4 (node 15455)

© Galati University Press, 2024

### 4. Discussion

For analysis and interpretation of the results, table 2 was created, which contains for each model the maximum value for the displacement of the non in the impact zone as well as the value of the maximum tension for the same node.

	Model	Model	Model	Model
	1	2	3	4
Disp.	0.92	0.34	0.77	0.145
Stress	8.9+08	6.8+08	8.3+08	3.57+09

Disp. [mm], Stress[N/m<sup>2</sup>]

For a successful analysis, the analyzed nodes are presented in figures 22, 23, 24, and 25, all having in common the flat area of impact. Being models with different geometries and the discretization being automatic, the number of the nodes where the displacements and stresses were presented have different numbers.



Fig. 22 The analysis node Model 1



Fig. 23 The analysis node Model 1



Fig. 24 The analysis node Model 3



Fig. 25 The analysis node Model 4

# 5. Concluding remarks

For the interpretation of the results, comparative graphs were made with the values of the resulting displacements.

In order to study the influence of the shapes on the displacements, comparative graphs were generated between the two models with connections (figure 26 and figure 27), the two models with bevels, (figure 28 and figure 29), and between the models with connections and bevels of the same value (figure 30 and figure 31).

In essence, by increasing the connection radius from 15mm to 20mm, the frontal impact surface is reduced. The same change in geometry is recorded by changing the texture from 15mm to 20mm.

155



Fig. 26 Displacements Model 1 Model 2

In the case of the comparison of the displacement values between the two models with connections, a decrease in their value by 63.04% is found.





In the case of the comparison of the values of the displacements between the two models with tapering, a decrease in their value is found by 81.16%.

In order to be able to appreciate the geometric solution with the effect of decreasing displacements, models 1 and 3, respectively 2 and 4, are compared.



Fig. 28 Displacements Model 1 Model 3

In the case of the comparison of displacement values between models 1 and 3, a decrease of 16.30% is found



**Fig. 29** Displacements Model 2 Model 4 In the case of the comparison of displacement values between models 2 and 4, a decrease of 57.35% is found.

It is found that the most important decrease in displacements is recorded between models 3 and 4. By increasing the value of the texture from 15 to 20mm, the decrease is 81.16%. Thus, it is recommended to choose the geometric version of model 4.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Solid Solutions Ireland, "SOLID-WORKS TEACHER TRAINING MANU-AL",2020.

[2] M. Nechita, "Barge's study under impact loads," IOP Conference Series Materials Science and Engineering, 2019.

Paper received on November 14th, 2024

© Galati University Press, 2024