

# TRIBOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF COMPOSITE MATERIALS OBTAINED USING ELECTRODEPOSITING

<sup>1</sup>Raul NOVAC, <sup>1</sup>Petrica ALEXANDRU, <sup>1</sup>Alina CANTARAGIU, <sup>2</sup>Alexandru STĂNCIOIU

> <sup>1</sup>"Dunărea de Jos" University of Galati <sup>2</sup>S.C. ALRO S.A. Slatina email: raul\_novac@yahoo.com

# ABSTRACT

The resistance to abrasive wear of composite materials obtained using electrodepositing in nickel and copper matrix was studied. The samples containing composite layers of graphite in copper matrix, titan dioxide and zirconium dioxide in nickel matrix were subjected to the wear process using a pin-disc type testing machine.

KEYWORDS: composite coating, tribological properties

#### **1. Introduction**

The tribology concept refers to the interaction between two or more bodies with one or more surfaces in contact, with or without macroscopic relative motion, in order to transmit normal or tangential forces. The process of friction-wear is a complex one, producing modifications in the geometry and structure of the superficial layers that are in contact with each other; this phenomenon leads to losses of material reducing the precision and the efficiency of machines and tools. In the automotive industry there are many parts affected by the wear which leads to the replacing of the traditional materials with composite materials (table 1).

System	Components	Improved Properties	
Engine	Piston head; valve; piston	Resistance to high	
	bolt; rod; bearings	temperatures; weight; wear	
Housing	Gearbox bearings	Weight; wear	
Brake	Brake Disc	Weight; wear	
Suspensions	Strut	Rigidity; resistance to wear	

*Table1.* The use of composite materials in the automotive industry [1]

Good tribological properties are also seen in the case of composite coverings with metallic matrix obtained using electrodepositing; in the case of these composite materials the properties of the metallic matrix are improved by adding the disperse phase that is under the form of powder.

### 2. The experimental method

We studied the tribological behavior of many samples made using electrodepositing.

Table 2. Characterization	of samples a	lepending on the na	ture of matrix an	d dispersed phase
---------------------------	--------------	---------------------	-------------------	-------------------

Sample	Matrix	Dispersed phase, (DP)	DP in the composite layer (%)	Layer thickness (µm)
G 25	copper	graphite	5	19
G40	copper	graphite	6	20
T35	nickel	TiO <sub>2</sub>	11	18
T67	nickel	TiO <sub>2</sub>	12	20
Z21	nickel	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	7	30
Z45	nickel	ZrO <sub>2</sub>	8	35



c)

We deposited TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub> in nickel matrix and graphite in copper matrix. The size of the TiO<sub>2</sub> and ZrO<sub>2</sub> dispersed phases was 2-5 $\mu$ m and 8-15 $\mu$ m for graphite. All the electrodeposits were made on a copper basis.



*Fig. 1.* The microstructure of the sample G 40 before the wear testing (the basis is made of copper, matrix of copper and graphite is the dispersed phase)



The technological scheme was the following one: *Electrodepositing, Drying, Punching, Degreasing, Abrasive wear resistance testing.* 



**Fig. 2.** The microstructure of the sample T67 before the wear testing(the basis is made of copper, matrix of nickel and TiO<sub>2</sub> is the dispersed phase)

Fig. 3. The microstructure of the sample Z45 before the wear testing (the basis is made of copper, matrix of nickel and ZrO<sub>2</sub> is the dispersed phase)



EHT = 15.00 kV

WD = 12.5 mm

Mag = 2.06 K X



EHT = 15.00 KV Mag = 2.08 KX WD = 12.5 mm

Fig. 4. SEM micrograph of composite layers: a) Nickel-TiO<sub>2</sub>,b) Nickel-ZrO<sub>2</sub> and c) Copper-graphite



## 2.1 Abrasive wear resistance testing

The machine used in order to check the abrasive wear resistance is a pin-disc type one. The sample is introduced in a puncher that presses with a certain force upon a rotating disc covered with abrasive paper (600 granulation). The sample executes a spiraling motion on the disc with the help of a mechanism. All the samples were pressed against the disc with the same force and have traveled the same distance. At the end of the testing the mass loss of each sample was measured. The variation of the mass was established by weighing with a scale with the precision of  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  grams.



Fig. 5. The scheme of the abrasive wear resistance testing machine: 1. puncher, 2. sample, 3. abrasive paper, 4. metallic disc, 5. pressing force, 6. the rotation movement of the disc, 7. the movement of the sample on the disc.

#### 3. Results and discussions

For the wear testing we used samples with a disc shape with a diameter of 10 mm. The pressing force was made using the weight of the puncher, respectively 126 grams. The length of the distance traveled trough each sample was 7.5 m. We used samples obtained by the electrodepositing on a copper basis. We have measured the mass of the sample before and after the wear testing. The results are presented in table 3 and figure 6.

 Table 3. The variation in mass of the samples
 after the wear testing

No.	Sample	$\Delta \mathbf{m}$ (g/m)
1	G25	0.0014
2	G40	0.0011
3	T35	0.00096
4	T67	0.00092
5	Z21	0.00073
6	Z45	0.00069



Fig. 6. The variation of the mass of the samples according to the depositing type

It can be noticed that the nickel-  $ZrO_2$  layer have the best wear resistance. The wear resistance of each layer depends on the percentage of embedded particles and micro-hardness of the particles.

## 4. Conclusions

The paper presents some results obtained following an extensive experimental research program

on the application of technology for the composites by electrodeposition. Single layers were deposited into a matrix of copper and nickel using copper as a support and TiO<sub>2</sub>, ZrO<sub>2</sub>, graphite as the dispersed phases. The nickel + ZrO<sub>2</sub> samples (Z45, Z21) have shown the best resistance to wear; more intense wear was noticed in the case of the samples  $T_{67}$  and  $T_{35}$ (Ni+TiO<sub>2</sub>) and the maximum wear was in the case of the samples  $G_{40}$  and  $G_{25}$  (Cu + graphite). Different



wear behavior can be explained by the fact that deposited layers have different micro-hardness; matrices are also different (nickel with higher wear resistance than copper).

## References

[1]. C.Stefanita, Eng.M. Mangra - Actual tendencies in the usage of composite materials in the automotive industry, 8<sup>th</sup> International Conference Targu-Jiu, May 24-26, 2002, "Constantin Brâncusi" University, Engineering Faculty;

[2]. O. Mitoseriu, E.Drugescu, F. Potecasu, L. Benea, G.Carac - 1998, Composite Coatings Obtained by Sedimentation Co-

deposition During Copper, Cobalt and Iron Electroplating -International Journal Materials and Manufacturing Processes, Vol XI, pages 417-422;

[3]. S.R. Saifulin - Physical Chemistry of Inorganic Polymeric and Composite Materials, Ellis Horwood Ltd. London, New York, 1992;

[4]. J.P. Celis - Developments and future challenges to science and technology in the field of tribo-corrosion, Proc. Eurocorr 2005, The European Corrosion Congress, 4-8 September 2005, Lisbon, Portugal;

[5]. A. de Vooys, J.P. Celis - Tribological and electrical performance of composite nickel and copper coatings as connector material on steel strips, Appliance Manufacturing, pages: S. 48 ff., jan. 2004.